# Problem #1

Given a set of *n*, 1-bit numbers, the *max* of those *n* numbers is equal to 0b1 if and only if **any** of those numbers are 0b1. In contrast, the *min* of the *n* 1-bit numbers is 0b1 if and only if **all** *n* numbers are 0b1. Hence, for *n* 1-bit numbers, the *max* and *min* can be written as:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | ( 1 ) |

where is the *i*th 1-bit number in the set of *n* numbers.

As an extension of the equation above, consider the case of a set of *k*-bit numbers (where ). Since any integer is composed of a series of bits, determining the minimum and maximum of the *n* numbers can be done at the bit level. The most significant bit (MSB) of the max/min is calculated using equation ; this is because MSB of the maximum/minimum only depends on the MSBs of the set of *n* numbers. For all subsequent bits, *j* (where *j* is a bit index defined as ), extra caution must be shown because it is not enough to only look at the *j*thbit of the *n* numbers since a number, , is only relevant to a min/max operation for bit *j* if all of its previous, more significant bits (i.e. and greater than 0) are equivalent to the corresponding bits in the min/max number.

**Example: Determine the maximum of a set of three, two-digit numbers { 0b00, 0b10, 0b01 }[[1]](#footnote-1)**

To determine the MSB of the maximum, you consider the most significant bit of all three words in the set, which are {0b0, 0b1, 0b0} respectively. Hence, the most significant bit (MSB) of the maximum (i.e. OR) of three numbers is 0b1. When determining the value of the second bit (i.e. least significant in this case) for the maximum, one can only consider those numbers who preceding bits are equal (same) to the previous, more significant bits in *max*. To check for equivalence, use the operator, , which is defined as:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 2 ) |

In simpler terms, both bits must be 0b0 or both bits must be 0b1. If one did not check equivalence and used the equation as is, the *max* would erroneously be: 0b11 (with the MSB coming from word 0b10 and the LSB coming from word 0b01).

When one combines the requirement of checking preceding bit equivalence with equation , the complete Boolean expression to find the *j*th bit of the minimum and maximum of a set of *n* numbers is:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | ( 3 ) |

where is *j*thbit of the *i*th number in the set of *n* numbers.

Minimax is a logical extension of equation . Each node in the tree has three children. Depending on whether the node is in a *max* or *min* level, it applies the corresponding equation from on its children to determine the respective value of each of its *k*-bits. For this problem, *k* (i.e. bits per word) is 3 since the numbers are 3-bits in length, and size of each set of numbers, *n*, is 3 (i.e. the number of children). The following are the bit equations for each of the values in the 4 ply minimax as defined in equation :

**Max – Level 0 (Root of the Tree)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 4 ) |
|  | ( 5 ) |
|  | ( 6 ) |

**Min – Level 1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **This is already in CNF.** | ( 7 ) |
| **This can be converted to CNF via:** | ( 8 ) |
| **This can be converted to CNF via:** | ( 9 ) |

**Max – Level 2 (Root of the Tree)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 10 ) |
|  | ( 11 ) |
|  | ( 12 ) |

**Min – Level 3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 13 ) |
|  | ( 14 ) |
|  | ( 15 ) |

Equations , , , and (i.e. the equations for the most significant bits of each level’s word) are already in CNF. Equations and ( 14 ) can be converted to CNF through equations to .

can be modified to use only symbols by doing successive substitution using the equations above. This can be done either by hand, which has limited scalability or though automation. I chose to automate this process by writing a program to do the substitution and then convert to CNF. The program is written in Python (HW3\_Q1\_Sympy\_Solver.py) and uses the Python SymPy library. SymPy is open source and can be downloaded from <https://pypi.python.org/pypi/sympy>. Included with this homework is a text file “**HW3\_Q1\_Results.txt**” which is the output of my SymPy program. It contains both in non-CNF form as well as in CNF form; these Boolean expressions are in terms of the base proposition symbols (i.e. ) as required. Most of the outputs of the equations in both CNF and non-CNF formats are too long to reasonably appear in this document. Kindly refer to that as a reference.

**Max – Level 2 (Root of the Tree)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| To convert this to CNF: | ( 10 ) |
|  | ( 11 ) |
|  | ( 12 ) |

**Min – Level 3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 13 ) |
|  | ( 14 ) |
|  | ( 15 ) |

# Problem #2

**Question: The pigeonhole principle says any function from pigeons into holes must result in two pigeons in the same hole. Let be a variable expressing that pigeon gets mapped to hole . Consider the case.**

**Express the following as propositional formulas:**

1. **Every gets mapped to some .**
2. **Some  is mapped to by  and  where .**

**The conjunction of these two statements is a propositional formula for . Convert  to clausal form and give a resolution refutation for this statement. Finally, trace the execution of DPLL on this formula.**

**Part A:**

Each pigeon can be in one hole and only one hole. As such, for a given pigeon, there is a disjunction of conjunctions (i.e. OR of ANDs). Each conjunction explicitly limits the pigeon to a single hole. The subsequent derivation in equation ( 21 ) converts the equation in CNF.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 21 ) |

**Part B:**

The pigeonhole principle is satisfied whenever any two boards are in any one hole. This translates to a large disjunction of conjunctions (i.e. disjunctive normal form – DNF) where each conjunction represents pigeons and being simultaneously in hole . The inverse of a DNF is a CNF; this equation will become important when it comes to performing the resolution refutation.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 22 ) |

**:**

The pigeonhole principles states that part implies . Hence:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 23 ) |

**:**

To show the pigeonhole principle is valid, take its negation and show it is unsatisfiable. This is done in equation . Note that the implication is removed via implication elimination.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 24 ) |

When equation is combined with the resolved equations in and , the negation of the pigeonhole principle with three holes and four pigeons, , is in CNF. This is shown in equation .

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ( 25 ) |

**Resolution Refutation:**

Resolution refutation necessitates combining clauses which have literal(s) whose sign(s) (i.e. positive or negative) is/are complementary. When combining these clauses, the goal for resolution refutation is to find an empty clause.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| From : | ( 26 ) |
| From : | ( 27 ) |
| From and : | ( 28 ) |
| From and :  Completing the Resolution Refutation since the empty clause was found. | ( 29 ) |

**DPLL Algorithm**

In the DPLL algorithm, there are four distinct steps per iteration. They are in sequential order:

1. If a clause has been assigned to false or the assignment is true, return the assignment as the expression has been satisfied.
2. Check for any pure symbols (i.e. symbols that have the same sign in all clauses).
3. Check for any unit clauses (i.e. any clause with only one symbol)
4. Choose the first symbol from the list of unassigned symbols. Test assigning both true and false to that symbol and see if either assignment satisfies the expression.

I wrote a program (HW3\_Q2\_DPLL.py) to execute the DPLL algorithm on this CNF. Below is the output from my algorithm. Each step the algorithm took before reaching an empty clause is listed as well as the initial conditions (e.g. clauses and model).

The clauses are below. A plus sign ("+") before a symbol name indicates a positive literal.

A minus sign ("-") before a symbol name indicates a negated literal.

[['-P1,1', '-P1,2'], ['-P1,1', '-P1,3'], ['-P1,2', '-P1,3'], ['+P1,1', '+P1,2', '+P1,3'], ['-P2,1', '-P2,2'], ['-P2,1', '-P2,3'], ['-P2,2', '-P2,3'], ['+P2,1', '+P2,2', '+P2,3'], ['-P3,1', '-P3,2'], ['-P3,1', '-P3,3'], ['-P3,2', '-P3,3'], ['+P3,1', '+P3,2', '+P3,3'], ['-P4,1', '-P4,2'], ['-P4,1', '-P4,3'], ['-P4,2', '-P4,3'], ['+P4,1', '+P4,2', '+P4,3'], ['-P1,1', '-P2,1'], ['-P1,1', '-P3,1'], ['-P1,1', '-P4,1'], ['-P2,1', '-P3,1'], ['-P2,1', '-P4,1'], ['-P3,1', '-P4,1'], ['-P1,2', '-P2,2'], ['-P1,2', '-P3,2'], ['-P1,2', '-P4,2'], ['-P2,2', '-P3,2'], ['-P2,2', '-P4,2'], ['-P3,2', '-P4,2'], ['-P1,3', '-P2,3'], ['-P1,3', '-P3,3'], ['-P1,3', '-P4,3'], ['-P2,3', '-P3,3'], ['-P2,3', '-P4,3'], ['-P3,3', '-P4,3']]

The model is: ['P1,1', 'P1,2', 'P1,3', 'P2,1', 'P2,2', 'P2,3', 'P3,1', 'P3,2', 'P3,3', 'P4,1', 'P4,2', 'P4,3']

Step #1: Try assigning symbol "P1,1" to "True".

Step #2: Symbol "P1,2" is a pure symbol. It was assigned to "False".

Step #3: Symbol "P1,3" is a pure symbol. It was assigned to "False".

Step #4: Unit clause found for symbol "P2,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #5: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #6: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #7: Try assigning symbol "P2,2" to "True".

Step #8: Symbol "P2,3" is a pure symbol. It was assigned to "False".

Step #9: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,2". It was assigned to "False".

Step #10: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #11: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,2". It was assigned to "False".

Step #12: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #13: Empty clause found. Recursing...

Assigning symbol "P2,2" to "True" failed.

Step #14: Try assigning symbol "P2,2" to "False".

Step #15: Unit clause found for symbol "P2,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #16: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,3". It was assigned to "False".

Step #17: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,2". It was assigned to "True".

Step #18: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,2". It was assigned to "False".

Step #19: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #20: Empty clause found. Recursing...

Assigning symbol "P1,1" to "True" failed.

Step #21: Try assigning symbol "P1,1" to "False".

Step #22: Try assigning symbol "P1,2" to "True".

Step #23: Symbol "P1,3" is a pure symbol. It was assigned to "False".

Step #24: Unit clause found for symbol "P2,2". It was assigned to "False".

Step #25: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,2". It was assigned to "False".

Step #26: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,2". It was assigned to "False".

Step #27: Try assigning symbol "P2,1" to "True".

Step #28: Symbol "P2,3" is a pure symbol. It was assigned to "False".

Step #29: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #30: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #31: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #32: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #33: Empty clause found. Recursing...

Assigning symbol "P2,1" to "True" failed.

Step #34: Try assigning symbol "P2,1" to "False".

Step #35: Unit clause found for symbol "P2,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #36: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,3". It was assigned to "False".

Step #37: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,1". It was assigned to "True".

Step #38: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #39: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #40: Empty clause found. Recursing...

Assigning symbol "P1,2" to "True" failed.

Step #41: Try assigning symbol "P1,2" to "False".

Step #42: Unit clause found for symbol "P1,3". It was assigned to "True".

Step #43: Unit clause found for symbol "P2,3". It was assigned to "False".

Step #44: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,3". It was assigned to "False".

Step #45: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,3". It was assigned to "False".

Step #46: Try assigning symbol "P2,1" to "True".

Step #47: Symbol "P2,2" is a pure symbol. It was assigned to "False".

Step #48: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #49: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,2". It was assigned to "True".

Step #50: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #51: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,2". It was assigned to "True".

Step #52: Empty clause found. Recursing...

Assigning symbol "P2,1" to "True" failed.

Step #53: Try assigning symbol "P2,1" to "False".

Step #54: Unit clause found for symbol "P2,2". It was assigned to "True".

Step #55: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,2". It was assigned to "False".

Step #56: Unit clause found for symbol "P3,1". It was assigned to "True".

Step #57: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,1". It was assigned to "False".

Step #58: Unit clause found for symbol "P4,2". It was assigned to "True".

Step #59: Empty clause found. Recursing...

These clauses are unsatisfiable.

1. The case of *min* is logical extension of the *max* example and is not shown. However, its equation is provided in ( **Error! Main Document Only.** ). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)